## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAG PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LIT	_	: : : :	MDL No. 2323 12-md-2323	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  Hamilton v. National Football League, 14	l-6353			
	<u>ORDER</u>			
<b>AND NOW</b> , this4 <sup>TH</sup> day of	May, 2017, i	t is <b>ORDERED</b> that l	Plaintiff Harry	
Hamilton's Second Amended Motion for	Temporary R	estraining Order and	Reconsideration	
(ECF No. 7026, No. 12-md-2323; ECF No. 9, No. 14-6353) is <b>DENIED</b> . <sup>1</sup>				
	s/Anita B. Brody			
	ANITA B. BRODY, J.			
Copies VIA ECF on to:		Copies MAILE	<b>D</b> on to:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hamilton's pro se filing appears to seek an injunction ordering the Bert Bell/Pete Rozelle NFL Player Retirement Plan to release certain funds that it is withholding from him. It is unclear from Hamilton's filing whether he also seeks relief from a decision of the Pennsylvania Court of Common Pleas. Hamilton's motion fails to allege any jurisdictional basis on which the Court can order the relief he seeks. In addition, Hamilton has failed to allege specific facts that "clearly show that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result will result to [him]," as required by Rule 65(b)(1)(A).